

## EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Karachi Infrastructure Development Company Ltd.  
(KIDCL).  
Ministry of Communications, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Two Envelop under Rule 36(b) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: SEGMENT: 1 SURJANI TO 2 MINUTE CHOWRANGI
4. Tender Inquiry No: Contract Package # KAR/BRTS/GL/05
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS 273505E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 27<sup>th</sup> April. 2016, 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 27<sup>th</sup> April. 2016. 2016. 1300 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Nine (09) - As mentioned below
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. Evaluation criterion is reproduced below for reference.

### Evaluation/Qualification Criteria

#### A) **The bidder must meet all mandatory criteria**

- i) Registration with PEC
- ii) Registration with Income Tax Department
- iii) Conflict of Interest
- iv) Blacklisting
- v) Litigation History
- vi) History of Non Performing contracts
- vii) Failure to Sign Contract
- viii) Quality Policy
- ix) Health and Safety Policy
- x) Financial Situation
  - a. Average Net working Capital for last three years: PKR 150 million.
  - b. Average Net worth for last three years' PKR 300 million.
  - c. Average Annual Construction Turnover' PKR 1900 million.
- xi) Work Experience

Similar Work with cost and complexity (one similar project), completed in the past Ten (10) years: **PKR 1400 Million** (Indexation of 7 % per annum will be applied)

Single Entity: Must Meet

Joint Venture: Each Member as Per their Share in the JV



Foreign firms if participating in the bidding process should strictly follow the rules stipulated in PEC bye laws for participation.

**B) Weightages/Marks**

- |                        |              |
|------------------------|--------------|
| a) Financial Soundness | 30 Marks Max |
| b) Work Experience     | 30 Marks Max |
| c) Work Programme      | 10 Marks Max |
| d) Work Methodology    | 10 Marks Max |
| e) Key Personnel       | 10 Marks Max |
| f) Plant & Equipment   | 10 Marks Max |

**For Technical Qualification, Passing Mark = 75%**

10. Nine bidders submitted sealed bids. Twenty One (21) firms purchased Tender Documents

**The bidders who submitted sealed Bid on the Closing date**

1. Echo West International (Pvt) Ltd
2. NPI Construction Engineering
3. Maqbool Associates (Pvt) Ltd
4. Qalamder Bux Abro & Company
5. NLC Engineers
6. FS.MS.H (JV)
7. Zahir Khan and Brothers
8. Reliance Consultancy & Engineering works
9. Usmani JV Reliable

**The Unqualified bidders and Reasons for failure to disqualify.**

	Name of Bidder	Reasons for Failure to Qualify The Technical Proposals
1	M/s Qalander Bux Abro & Company	Failed due to the following reason. Despite specific clarifications requested, the bidder failed to provide admissible documentary evidence as to the completion of similar specific work experience / for claimed project (Metro bus Islamabad, package 2, sublet works). Neither Completion Certificate Verified by Engineer/ Employer Nor Sublet Agreement / Last Paid Invoice provided to substantiate the claim
2	M/s FS.MS.H (JV)	Failed due to the following reason. Your technical bid was not substantially responsive to the technical requirements as enlisted in the IB clauses and Evaluation Criteria, esp. similar specific experience was not demonstrated as to be based on the physical size, complexity, methods / technology or other characteristics;



3	M/s Reliance Consultancy & Engineering works	Failed due to the following reason. Despite specific clarifications requested, the bidder failed to provide admissible documentary evidence as to the completion of similar specific work experience / for claimed project (Bridge on Indus River at Ghotki). Neither Completion Certificate Verified by Engineer/ Employer nor other admissible documentary evidence was provided to substantiate the claim.
4	Echo West International( Pvt) Ltd	Failed due to the following reason. The bidder failed to provide admissible documentary evidence as to the successful completion of similar specific work experience / claimed project (Jalalabad-Torkhum road project). Neither Completion Certificate Verified by Engineer/ Employer nor other admissible documentary evidence was provided to substantiate the claim.

The above bidders were intimated of the outcome and reasons vide letter no. KIDCL (GLBRTS)/GM/2016/1433 – 1436 dated 2.6.2016, and the information was also hoisted on project's website [www.greenline.gov.pk](http://www.greenline.gov.pk).

**The Qualified bidders:** Five (05)

	<i>Name of Bidders Ranking</i>	<i>Technical Score</i>	<i>Bid Price (PKR)</i>	<i>Evaluated Cost (PKR)</i>	Rule/Regulation/SBD8/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 PP Rules,2004
1	NPI Construction Engineering	83.18/100	1,945,186,801/-	1,945,186,801/-	16.81% below
2	Zahir Khan and Brothers	97.20/100	2,342,033,019/-	2,342,033,019/-	0.79% above
3	Usmani Jv Reliable	76.31/100	2,348,879,214 /-	2,348,879,214/-	1.10% above
4	Maqbool Associates (pvt) Ltd	84.82/100	2,590,538,267/-	2,590,538,267/-	11.82% above
5	National Logistics Cell Engineers	82.058/100	2,684,247,131 /-	2,684,247,131/-	15.97% above

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/s NPI Construction & Engineering

11. Any other additional/supporting information the procuring agency may like to share:


After reviewing and in consultation with the project consultants (M/s. EA), clarifications / rate analysis in respect of two items' rates (SP-10 Concrete Painting and 404b Reinforcement) were requested from the lowest bidder vide KIDCL letter No.KIDCL/Eng/2016/1479 dated 10.6.2016. M/s. NPI Construction & Engineering submitted the requisite rate analysis vide letter No NPI/KHI/GL/05/03 dated



14.6.2016. While submitting the requisite rate analysis, M/s. NPI undertook that they will **“execute the activities strictly according to specifications as per contract”**. Project Consultants recommended to get assurance from the lowest bidder on item rate SP-10 Concrete Paving, at the time of contract negotiations.

Combined Evaluation Report, comprising Technical Evaluation Report as approved by the Procurement Committee of BOD and Financial Evaluation, recommending M/s. NPI Construction & Engineering as the lowest evaluated bidder, at the evaluated price of Rs. 1,945,186,801/- (Rupees One Billion Nine Hundred Forty-five Million One Hundred Eighty-six Thousands Eight Hundred and One) was approved by the Board of Directors of KIDCL in its 7<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 4.7.2016.

Signature.....

  
**G.M. (Engineering) / Chief Engineer**  
**Karachi Infrastructure Development Co. Ltd. (KIDCL)**  
**Ministry of Communications**  
**Government of Pakistan**

Official Stamp,.....