

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Karachi Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (KIDCL).
Ministry of Communications. Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Two Envelop under Rule 36(a) Public Procurement Rules 2004
3. Title of Procurement: CONSTRUCTION OF OPERATION COMMAND AND CONTROL CENTRE (OCC)
4. Tender Inquiry No: Contract Package # KAR/BRTS/GL/09
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): **TS309343E**
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 10th Aril , 2017at 1500 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 10th April , 2017 at 1530 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received' Ten (10) - As mentioned below
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation. Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. Evaluation criterion is reproduced below for Reference.

Evaluation/Qualification Criteria

A) The bidder must meet all mandatory criteria

- i) Registration with P.E.C
- ii) Registration with Income Tax Department
- iii) Conflict of Interest
- iv) Blacklisting
- v) Litigation History
- vi) History of Non Performing Contracts
- vii) Failure to Sign Contract
- viii) Quality Policy
- ix) Health and Safety Policy
- x) Financial Situation
 - a. Average Net working Capital for last three years: PKR 25 million
 - b. Average Net worth for last three years' PKR 50 Million
 - c. Average Annual Construction Turnover' PKR 250 million
- xi) Work Experience

G.M. (Engineering)/ Chief Engineer
Karachi Infrastructure Development Co. Ltd. (KIDCL)
Ministry of Communications
Government of Pakistan

Similar Work with cost and complexity (one similar project), completed In the past Ten(10) years: **PKR 200 Million**(Indexation of 7 % per annum will be applied)

Single Entity: Must Meet

Joint Venture: Each Member as Per their Share in the JV

Foreign firms if participating in the bidding process should strictly follow the rules stipulated in PEC bye laws for participation.

B) Weightages/Marks

- | | | |
|------|------------------------|--------------|
| i. | Financial Soundness | 20 Marks Max |
| ii. | Work Experience | |
| | a. General Experience | 10 Marks Max |
| | b. Specific Experience | 30 Marks Max |
| iii. | Work Program | 10 Marks Max |
| iv. | Work Methodology | 10 Marks Max |
| v. | Key Personnel | 10 Marks Max |
| vi. | Plant & Equipment | 10 Marks Max |

For Technical Qualification, Passing Mark = 70%

10. Ten bidders submitted sealed bids. Twenty Seven (27) firms purchased Tender Documents.

The bidders who submitted sealed Bid on the Closing date

1. M/s MS Engineering Services (PVT) Ltd.
2. Times Group pvt Ltd
3. M/s Ziauddin Ahmed & Co. (Pvt) LTD
4. M/s Al-Shafi Enterprises
5. M/s SMK Construction Co.
6. AMCORP Engineering and Construction
7. M/s Muhammad Hasni Builders
8. M/s Shams & Zain Meo Rajpoot Construction Company
9. M/s Gulzari Associates, Contractors, Architects & Engineers
10. M/s Nadir Khan - ICEM JV

The Unqualified bidder and Reasons for failure to disqualify.

	Name of Bidder	Reasons for Failure to Qualify The Technical Proposals
1	M/s MS Engineering Services (PVT) Ltd.	Failed due to the following reason. Uncompleted Bids, Bid Security Not As per IB Clause 15.3 any bid not accompanied by an acceptable Bid Security shall be rejected by the Employer as non -responsive.

G.M. (Engineering)/ Chief Engineer
Karachi Infrastructure Development Co. Ltd. (KIDCL)
Ministry of Communications
Government of Pakistan

2	M/s Nadir Khan - ICEM JV	"Pursuant to Clause I.B 11 Document Accompanying the bid the bidder was responded to submit certain information along with his proposal. The bidder did not comply with this clause. However, he was given a chance vide letter No. KIDCL/(Eng)/2017/2466 dated 14-04-2017 to submit the missing documents, but he failed to do so. As such his bid is technically non-responsive"
3	M/s Muhammad Hasni Builders	"Pursuant to Clause I.B 11 Document Accompanying the bid the bidder was responded to submit certain information along with his proposal. The bidder did not comply with this clause. However, he was given a chance vide letter No. KIDCL/(Eng)/2017/2465 dated 14-04-2017 to submit the missing documents, but he failed to do so. As such his bid is technically non-responsive"

The Qualified bidders

S. No	Name of Bidders Ranking	Technical Score	Bid Price (PKR)	Evaluated Cost (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD 8/ Policy Basis for / Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule35 PP Rules,2004
1	M/s SMK Construction Co.	78.00/100	262,280,675/-	235,071,293/-	1.94% Below
2	M/s Gulzari Associates, Contractors, Architects & Engineers	75.22/100	270,690,933/-	271,661,855/-	13.32% Above
3	Times Group pvt Ltd	73.69/100	294,520,795/-	296,518,195/-	23.69 % above
4	M/s Shams & Zain Meo Rajpoot Construction Company	85./100	323,995,186/-	323,812,941/-	35.08 % above
5	AMCORP Engineering and Construction	90.48/100	327,938,076/-	330,611,661	37.91 % above
6	M/s Al-Shafi Enterprises	90.69/100	351,568,324/-	333,442,435	39.10 % above
7	M/s Ziauddin Ahmed & Co. (Pvt)	75.83/100	422,445,857/-	425,306,577	77.42 % above

Lowest Bidder: M/s SMK Construction Co.

11. Any other additional/supporting information. The procuring agency may like to share: NIL

Signature.....

G.M. (Engineering)/ Chief Engineer
Karachi Infrastructure Development Co. Ltd. (KIDCL)
Official Stamp,.....Ministry of Communications
Government of Pakistan